FIR 11 2005 2

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

February 7, 2005

Glen Belvis, Reg. No. 31,735
Name of applicant, assignee or Registered Representative

Signature

Date of Signature

Our Case No. 659-764 K-C Ref. No. 14,645

See Youposes

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Gary L. SHANKLIN, et al.

Serial No. 09/753,136

Filing Date: December 29, 2000

For: ANTI-VIRAL LOTION TISSUE AND

APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

THE SAME

METHODS FOR MAKING AND USING

Dear Sir:

This Appeal is in response to the Final Office Action mailed May 17, 2004,

rejecting Claims 1-7, 10-20 and 25-30, all the claims pending herein.¹

¹ Appellant filed a Notice of Appeal on November 12, 2004, along with a Petition for an Extension of Time of three months. Appellant files herewith a Petition for an Extension of Time of one month. Accordingly, the present Appeal Brief is timely filed.

7 045/1.4 A.

03/17/2005 IRBYER

(9) CONCLUSION

In summary, Appellants respectively submit that claims 1-7, 10-20 and 25-30 are improperly rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), because the use of liquid polyester delivery aids at low concentrations according to Siegfried is incompatible with the use of high melting retention/release agents at high concentrations according to Luu. Consequently, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejection should be withdrawn and the claims should be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Glén Belvis

Registration No. 31,735 Attorney for Appellants

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, Illinois 60610 (312) 321-4200

Dated: February 7, 2005